Casase14:14-0001463 PM Do RARHMENT Filed 02/02/14 Page 1 of 3 Page 10 # 3304

AO 120 (Rev. 08/10)

TO:

Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450		TRADEMARK		
In Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware on the following Trademarks or Patents. (the patent action involves 35 U.S.C. § 292.):				
DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED 12/9/2014	U.S. DISTRICT COURT for the District of Delaware		
PLAINTIFF ASTRAZENECA AB		DEFENDANT AUROBINDO PHARMA LTD., and AUROBINDO PHARMA U.S.A., INC.		
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		
1 RE 44,186	4/30/2013	AstraZeneca AB		
2				
3		 		
4		 		
5		<u> </u>		
		following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included:		
DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY	ndment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading		
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		
1	<u> </u>			
2				
3				
4				
5				
In the above	e—entitled case, the following de	ecision has been rendered or judgement issued:		
DECISION/JUDGEMENT	- Sea attached			
CLERK John A Cerino, Cle United States Distr 844 N. King Street, Wi lmington, DE 19	ict Court Unit 18	DEPUTY CLERK DATE 2/2/17		

Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Director
Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Director
Copy 4—Case file copy

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ASTRAZENECA AB,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	
AUROBINDO PHARMA LTD., et al.)	C.A. No. 14-664-GMS (CONSOLIDATED)
Defendants.)	

JUDGMENT

This action came before the Court for a three-day bench trial on September 19th, 2016. The issues have been tried and the parties filed Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law shortly thereafter (D.I. 373, 374). The court issued a Memorandum and Order (D.I. 383, 384) ("Bench Opinion") on February 2, 2017. Therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that, in accordance with this Court's Bench Opinion, final judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff AstraZeneca AB, and against defendants Aurobindo Pharma Ltd., Aurobindo Pharma U.S.A., Wockhardt Bio AG, Wochardt USA LLC, Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC, Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd., Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd., Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd., Watson Laboratories, Inc., and Actavis Laboratories FL, Inc., ("Defendants") finding that:

- 1. Claims 25 and 26 of the RE44,186 patent are not invalid due to obviousness.
- 2. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(4)(A), the effective date of the approval of the Defendants' respective Abbreviated New Drug Applications seeking permission to market generic saxagliptin hydrochloride tablets and saxagliptin hydrochloride and metformin hydrochloride extended-release tablets shall be no earlier than the expiration

date of U.S. Patent No. RE44,186, including any extensions and/or additional periods

of exclusivity to which Plaintiff is or becomes entitled; and

3. Defendants and each of their respective directors, officers, agents, servants, and

employees, and those acting in privity or concert with them, are permanently enjoined

from making, using, offering to sell, or selling in the United States, or importing into

the United States their respective generic saxagliptin hydrochloride tablets and

saxagliptin hydrochloride and metformin hydrochloride extended-release tablets until

after the expiration date of U.S. Patent No. RE44,186, including any extensions and/or

additional periods of exclusivity to which Plaintiff is or becomes entitled; and

4. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.

Dated: February _____, 2017

NITED STATES DISTRICT UDO